

Bath & North East Somerset Council

DECISION MAKER:	Cllr Charles Gerrish, Cabinet Member for Service Delivery		
DECISION DATE:	On or after 18 th December 2010	EXECUTIVE FORWARD PLAN REFERENCE:	
		E	2190
TITLE:	Various Roads Bath Prohibition & Restriction of waiting Disabled Parking Places Order		
WARD:	All		
AN OPEN PUBLIC ITEM			
List of attachments to this report: Appendix			

1 THE ISSUE

1.1 To consider the points received during a public consultation which ended on 9th September 2010. Responses received are included in the appendix attached to this report.

2 RECOMMENDATION

The Cabinet member is asked to agree that:

2.1 The proposals in relation to Entry Hill and Lynbrook Lane be withdrawn

2.2 The points raised in relation to Kempthorne Lane be noted

2.3 The proposed restrictions for St Marys Close be reduced by 5 metres on both sides of the road as detailed in 5.3

2.4 The restrictions contained in the remaining proposals be introduced as advertised and local residents informed of the decision.

3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 To be funded from the capital programme.

4 CORPORATE PRIORITIES

- Improving transport and the public realm.

5 THE REPORT

5.1 The proposals relate to Clara Cross Lane, Dartmouth Avenue, Englishcombe Lane, Entry Hill, Harcourt Gardens, Hillcrest Drive, Kempthorne Lane, Lynbrook Lane, Mount Grove, Mount Road, Oolite Road, Saffron Court, Sham Castle Lane, Southdown Road, St Marys Close, Tying Lane, Upper Bloomfield Road, Wellsway (junction with Oolite Road) , Grosvenor Place and Herbert Road.

5.2 The proposals were advertised on 9th September 2010 for a period of 21 days. Comments received are included in the appendix.

5.3 Comments in relation to Lynbrook Lane/Entry Hill have been received together with points relating to Kempthorne Lane and St Marys Close. No comments were received regarding the remaining proposals. A further site visit has therefore been made to Entry Hill and it has been concluded that the proposals do not need to be implemented in order to allow essential private vehicles to effect a turning manoeuvre. To remove on-street parking as detailed in the proposal would adversely impact on local residents. It is likely that residents will approach the Council with additional requests for restrictions on Kempthorne Lane at the conclusion of their enquiry. Finally, the St Marys Close proposal could be reduced by five metres from 21 to 16 metres to achieve the access requirement whilst maximising on-street parking. These suggested changes are detailed in the Appendix to this report.

6 RISK MANAGEMENT

6.1 The report author and Cabinet member have fully reviewed the Risk Assessment related to the issue and recommendations, in compliance with the Council's decision making risk management guidance.

7 EQUALITIES

7.1 The rights of local residents have been considered as part of this proposal

8 RATIONALE

8.1 Point 5.3 contains the rationale for the alterations to the proposals

9 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

9.1 None

10 CONSULTATION

10.1 Ward Councillors; Service Users; Local Residents and Section 151 Finance Officer.

10.2 The proposals were advertised on site on 19th August for a period of 21 days along the affected roads and in the Public Notices section of public newspapers advising where and when the proposals could be viewed.

11 ISSUES TO CONSIDER IN REACHING THE DECISION

11.1 Customer Focus; Corporate; Health & Safety; Other Legal Considerations

12 ADVICE SOUGHT

12.1 The Council's Monitoring Officer (Council Solicitor) and Section 151 Officer (Divisional Director - Finance) have had the opportunity to input to this report and have cleared it for publication.

Contact person	Dorothy Miley 01225 394231
Background papers	nil
Please contact the report author if you need to access this report in an alternative format	